

Pseudorandomness

Write Solutions

Let's recall intuitively what a pseudorandom string is
- a pseudorandom string looks like a uniformly distributed string

Key idea!

to polynomial time viewers

No say a distr

D is pseudorandom over strings length l means D is

indistinguishable from the uniform distribution over strings of length l

$S \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ choose random seed uniformly

then output $G(S) \in \{0,1\}^l$

$D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$

In symbols a PRG takes a uniform string S as a seed and outputs $G(S)$ from $\{0,1\}^l$

of $S \rightarrow$ that map to $(G(S)=y)$

- $|\{S \in \{0,1\}^n \mid G(S)=y\}|$

$$\Pr_D(y) = \frac{\quad}{2^n}$$

$D \subset \{0,1\}^n$

$$S \cap G(S)=y$$

Probability of choosing an element of D

$$= \frac{|\text{Size of } D|}{2^n}$$

- Generally not Uniform

Now we will be using

- Pseudorandom strings will be used to generate a

long pseudorandom string from a short seed.

- But let me point out a note between

the uniform distribution and our Pseudorandom distribution

Informally a distribution D is Pseudo Random if no polynomial-time distinguisher can detect if a string is sampled from D or from the uniform distribution.

Pseudorandom generators

We will formalize this by:

- Every polynomial-time algorithm outputs 1

with the ~~same~~ ^{same} probability when given a TRS, and APRS

- A pseudorandom generator is a deterministic algorithm that receives a short truly random seed and stretches it to a long pseudorandom one.

- Deterministic Algorithm. * ✓

Def. Let $l(\cdot)$ be a polynomial and let

G be a deterministic PT algorithm s.t. for all input

$s \in \{0,1\}^n$, G outputs a string of length $l(n)$.

G is a pseudorandom generator if

(1) $\forall n, l(n) > n$

(2) For all PPT distinguishers D , \exists a negl function s.t.

$$|\Pr[D(r) = 1] - \Pr[D(G(s)) = 1]| \leq \text{negl}(n)$$

where r is uniformly chosen from $\{0,1\}^{l(n)}$

and s is uniformly chosen from $\{0,1\}^n$

There are two requirements

$D(r)$

① Randomized experiment

- D is given r and runs some algorithm to

distinguish if r is a uniform string or not. from $\{0,1\}^{len}$
Returns 1 if

- D is given $G(s)$ and runs an algorithm

to distinguish if $G(s)$ is a pseudorandom string

from $\{0,1\}^{l(n)}$.

In both cases return 1 if uniform

② Distinguishers have G and may feed $\{0,1\}^n$ into

G . $G(\{0,1\}^n)$ is not polynomial time.

Discussion

-PRG are not random

Does this one for U_1^n or $G(u)$

Take away's

① PRP distributions are far from random

② For PPT attackers

PRS are indistinguishable from uniform strings

Brute Force is non polynomial time.

Example - Consider $\ell(n) = 2n$ $\{0,1\}^{2n}$

- Uniform distribution is characterized by

2^{2n} possible strings with prob 2^{-2n}

- Distribution determined by G is at most size 2^n . The prob a random string is in range of G is at most $2^n / 2^{2n} = 2^{-n}$

- Trivial to decide between RS, PRS given unlimited time.

$$D(w) = 1 \iff \exists s \in \{0,1\}^n \text{ s.t. } G(s) = w \quad *$$

- If w was generated by G then D outputs 1 with prob 1

- If w is uniformly distributed in $\{0,1\}^{2n}$ then the probability,

that there exists an s with $G(s) = w$ is at most 2^n

S D outputs 1 with prob at most 2^{-n} .

$$\Pr[D(w) = 1] - \Pr[D(G(s)) = 1] = 1 - 2^{-n}$$

≈ 1 for large n 's!

The Seed and it's length

- The seed is uniformly chosen and kept secret

3.4 - Constructing Secure Encryption Schemes

The encryption scheme: Pseudo - One Time pad with expansion factor

- Let G be a ~~PRG~~ generator \leftarrow Pseudo random generator

- Gen: input 1^n , output $K \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ \leftarrow uniformly chosen

- Enc: Input $K \in \{0,1\}^n$, $m \in \{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$

$$c := G(K) \oplus m$$

- Dec: Input $K \in \{0,1\}^n$, $c \in \{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$

$$m := G(K) \oplus c$$

Thm. If G is a PRG then the POTP is

asymptotically indistinguishable.

Proof.

① Inuition

If π used random string as key then π is one-time pad.

Therefore A is unable to guess ~~message~~ Message with prob $1/2$.

Then A must be distinguishing the output of G from a random string.

- Let A be a ppt adversary,

$$\epsilon(n) = \Pr [\text{PrivK}_{A, \pi}^{\text{cav}}(n) = 1] - 1/2$$

negligible?

- Use A to construct D for PRG G , s.t. D succeeds with prob $\epsilon(n)$

- D is given a string w , it determines whether w was chosen uniformly at random or whether $w := G(k)$

- D emulates the experiment for A and observes if A succeeds or not

- If A succeeds then D guesses w is pseudorandom.
If A fails then D guesses w is RS.

D: distinguisher D

D is given as input $w \in \{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$

- ① Run $A(1^n)$ to obtain $m, n, c \in \{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$
 - ② Choose $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$. Set $c := w \oplus m \oplus b$
 - ③ Give c to A and obtain output b' .
- Output 1 if $b' = b$ and output 0 otherwise.

Randomized
experiment

①

②

By Security of the OTP

Let $\tilde{\pi} = (\tilde{G}, \tilde{Enc}, \tilde{Dec})$
be the one time pad

$$\Pr[\text{PrivK}_{A, \tilde{\pi}}^{\nu(n)} = 1] = 1/2.$$

$\text{Gen}(1^n)$

E/c A is
given $c = w \oplus m \oplus b$

Observe

- ① If w is chosen uniformly from $\{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$ then A when run by D is distributed identically to A in experiment $\text{PrivK}_{A, \tilde{\pi}}^{\nu(n)}$.

where $w \in \{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$
is a uniform
string

- ② If $w = G(k)$ for $k \in \{0,1\}^n$, then the view of A when run as a subroutine of D is distributed identically to the view of A in $\text{PrivK}_{A, \tilde{\pi}}^{\nu(n)}$.

Therefore it follows when $w \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{l(w)}$ is chosen uniformly

$$\Pr [D(w) = 1] = \Pr [Pr_{v, K_{A, \pi}}(w) = 1] = 1/2 \text{ by } \textcircled{1}$$

When $w = G(k)$ for $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ chosen uniformly

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [D(w) = 1] &= \Pr [D(G(k)) = 1] = \Pr [Pr_{v, K_{A, \pi}}(w)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon(n) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left| \Pr [D(w) = 1] - \Pr [D(G(s)) = 1] \right| = \epsilon(n)$$

where w, s are chosen uniformly

Then since G is PRF, $\epsilon(n)$ is a ~~pot~~ negligible

Conclusion

- For PPT adversary we have a secure encryption that has $|key| < \text{message length}$.

But what if we have multiple messages?

We will begin to explore this now and over the next few days.